TECHNICAL NOTES

IPN-MODIFIED SILICONE THERMOPLASTICS

BARRY C. ARKLES Petrarch Systems, Inc.

*Now with Gelest, Inc., Morrisville, PA.

he properties of currently available biomaterials tend to fall short of the requirements of many potential medical devices. However, a new process and formulation technology has generated an array of products that may bridge some of these gaps. The technology, which is known as Rimplast (a registered trademark of Petrarch Systems, Incorporated), is based on a reactive polymer and interpenetrating polymer network (IPN) for silicone thermoplastic materials. It is an example of the emerging trend in biomaterial technology in which material development is undertaken with the goal of medical application, in contrast with traditional biomaterial development, in which existing industrial materials were qualified, often clumsily, for medical applications.

Of principal concern for bioengineers are applications in which mechanical properties such as tensile strength, flexural modulus, and fatigue endurance must be combined with good blood-contacting and low physiological-response properties. While exhibiting low mechanical strength, silicones are recognized as possessing these two latter properties. Reviews of silicones in medical applications from the physiological, device, and materials perspectives have been published recently that establish two interesting points

germane to the Rimplast polymer systems. The first is that a large portion of the physiological response elicited by silicone rubbers is caused by silica and other fillers within the silicone, not the silicone itself.4 Second, several polymer systems containing silicone, particularly in the urethane area, have been developed with exceptional blood-contacting properties and reasonably good mechanical properties. The most conspicuous example of this class of material is Cardiothane (formerly Avcothane). While it was originally believed to be a copolymer,5 later work has shown that the material is more properly considered a blend of about 10% silicone and urethane.6 Although it indicates the potential of silicone-urethane systems, Cardiothane's nonuniform morphology, poor process parameters, and unattractive economics have disallowed widespread applications. Other polymer systems in which low levels of silicone copolymers have been utilized as additives demonstrate reduced bloodpolymer interaction, but it is not clear from the limited data published whether problems of uniform polymer distribution and process-independent properties are resolved. Nevertheless, the introduction of lowmolecular-weight species is almost certain to reduce the mechanical properties of the base polymer.

The IPN Process Technology

A block copolymer approach to formulating silicone urethanes is one apparent solution to the dilemma posed by additive and mixture systems. Although the development of several such materials has been reported, applications. Rimplast represents a second and unique approach, one in which a polyblend of highmolecular-weight silicone and urethane is converted to an IPN during processing by extrusion or injection molding.

REPRINTED FROM MEDICAL DEVICE & DIAGNOSTIC INDUSTRY, NOVEMBER 1983.

Copyright © 1983 Canon Communications, Inc.

A simple statement of the IPN process technology is as follows. Reactive silicone components are confined to separate solid pellets of matrix resin (e.g., urethane), which are handled as a homogeneous mix. When the melt state is achieved in conventional injectionmolding or extrusion equipment, the confinement of reactive silicones to individual pellets collapses and they begin to react with each other to form a pseudointerpenetrating polymer network. While it is essential that the reaction is initiated in the melt, it will proceed in the solid state. Within two to three hours of the start of the melt state the property development associated with the formation of the IPN is substantially complete. Little or no difference between this technology and conventional resin processing during extrusion or injection molding is observed.

The chemistry of the process that generates the silicone IPN is a platinum-catalyzed vinyl-addition reaction, stated:

$$\begin{array}{c} R \\ \sim (OSi)_{\widetilde{m}}CH = CH_2 \ + \ H(SiO)_{\widetilde{n}} \longrightarrow \sim (OSi)_{\widetilde{m}} \ CH_2 \ CH_2(SiO)_{\widetilde{n}} \\ Me \end{array}$$

This chemistry is adapted in a number of ways for specific polymer systems: the *R* substituents are varied to achieve an appropriate degree of compatibility with the matrix resin, the molecular weight and number of reactive groups are varied in order to achieve the desired cross-link density, and finally, the ratio of silicone to matrix polymer is varied.

Table I: Properties of IPN-modified silicone thermoplastics compared to pure silicones and to base polymers.

Compared to Silicones	Compared to Base Polymers			
Can be processed on conventional thermoplastic equipment with fewer processing variables than	Silicone release characteristics			
liquid silicone rubber	Lower wear and friction			
Greater strength—tear, tensile, flexural strength increased	Improved dielectric properties			
Lower mating-surface wear; silica-free formulation reduces abrasion	Reduced blood/polymer interaction; filler-free silicone surface reduces thrombogenic response			
Lower oxygen permeability; moisture transmission reduced	Increased permeability to oxygen—useful in self- supporting enrichment applications			
Increased elastic recovery at low extensions	Improved high-temperature performance			
Reduced blood/polymer interaction; filler-free	Increased elastic recovery at high extensions			
silicone surface reduces thrombogenic response	Improved solvent and stress-crack resistance			

Table II: Properties of seven grades of IPN-modified silicone urethanes.

ì		1		ether	ether	w/carbon fiber
10	15	8	10	10	10	10
70A	60A	80A (30D)	55D (95A)	55D	60D	70D
300	200	500	750	650	1000	1200
3000	1500	6500	6000	6000	6000	18,500
700	1000	520	470	425	400	5
4000	2000	6000	7300 16,000	7000 15,000	8000 27,000	18,000 800,000
NBa	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	1.6
NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	8.0
0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.4
1.11	1.10	1.16	1.19	1.12	1.12	1.24
1.5-2.0	1.5-2.0	1.0-1.5	1.0-1.5	1.0-1.5	į	1.1-1.4
00-350	300-350	325-375	325-375	400-450	400~450	420-475
	300 3000 700 4000 NB ^a NB 0.3 1.11 .5-2.0	300 200 3000 1500 700 1000 4000 2000 NB ^a NB NB NB 0.3 0.3 1.11 1.10 .5-2.0 1.5-2.0	300 200 500 3000 1500 6500 700 1000 520 4000 2000 6000 NBa NB NB NB NB NB 0.3 0.3 0.3 1.11 1.10 1.16 .5-2.0 1.5-2.0 1.0-1.5	300 200 500 750 3000 1500 6500 6000 700 1000 520 470 4000 2000 6000 16,000 NB³ NB NB NB NB NB NB NB 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 1.11 1.10 1.16 1.19 .5-2.0 1.5-2.0 1.0-1.5 1.0-1.5	300 200 500 750 650 3000 1500 6500 6000 6000 700 1000 520 470 425 7300 7000 4000 2000 6000 16,000 15,000 NBaa NB NB NB NB NB NB NB NB NB NB NB NB 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.4 1.11 1.10 1.16 1.19 1.12 .5-2.0 1.5-2.0 1.0-1.5 1.0-1.5 1.0-1.5 1.0-1.5	70A 60A 80A (30D) 55D (95A) 55D 60D 300 200 500 750 650 1000 3000 1500 6500 6000 6000 6000 700 1000 520 470 425 400 4000 2000 6000 16,000 15,000 27,000 NBa NB NB NB NB NB NB NB NB NB NB NB 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.4 0.5 1.11 1.10 1.16 1.19 1.12 1.12 .5-2.0 1.5-2.0 1.0-1.5 1.0-1.5 1.0-1.5 1.0-1.5

Test	Result		
Agar overlay cytotoxicity Systemic injection	Negative		
Saline	Negative		
Ethanolic saline	Negative		
Polyethylene glycol	Negative		
Cottonseed ail	Negative		
Intramuscular implantation (7 days)	No gross signs of tissue damage		
Red blood cell hemolysis	Negative		
Blood protein adsorption (60A HTV silicone = 1)	0.7-0.8		
Ames/salmonella mutagenicity	Negative		

Table III: Results of biocompatibility tests of silicone aliphatic urethane formulation, grade PTUA102.

Properties and Applications

The mechanical and physiological properties of IPN-modified silicone thermoplastics are compared to those of pure silicones and base polymers in Table I. At a minimum, the mechanical properties of the matrix resin are preserved, and in many areas—including wear, lubricity, and abrasion resistance—they are improved. In high cross-link density systems the resilience and resistance to creep (set) of the resins is enhanced. The ready processability of these materials makes them good substitutes for liquid-injection-molded silicone.

IPN-modified silicone polyurethanes can be formulated with a considerable range of properties (see Table II). In the middle range are the materials that were the initial targets for biomedical applications. They demonstrate tear and tensile strengths three to five times that of silicones and wear rates that are lower by a factor of five or six when compared to urethanes and by factors greater than 10 when compared to silicones. At the low-modulus end of the material spectrum are flexible silicone urethanes with durometers as low as 60A, while at the high-modulus end carbon fiber—reinforced high-durometer silicone urethanes with strengths approaching die-cast metals have been achieved.

All clean grades of IPN-modified silicone polyurethanes have demonstrated favorable results in biocompatibility tests. Silicone-aliphatic urethane systems have undergone the most extensive evaluation, and appear to have a significant potential for long-term implant applications. Classic biocompatibility assays such as cytotoxicity, systemic injection of extracts, and implantation tests on the aliphatic urethane-matrix resin selected for hybridization in silicone IPN systems—a methylene bis(cyclohexyl)isocyanate polybutylene ether elastomer manufactured by Thermedics (Waltham, Massachusetts)—have yielded favorable results (Table III).10 Additional information that contributes to an assessment of the material's positive potential for long-term implants derives from other blood-contact and implant studies. Hemolysis tests, which give a crude gage of blood compatibility, have indicated less than 0.5% hemolysis; blood protein adsorption from heparinized whole human blood has been observed to be 20-30% lower than HTV silicone controls; and a

more uniform surface morphology has also been observed. These combined factors suggest the material should exhibit one of the lowest levels of mural thrombosis induced by polymeric implants. Initial tests of highly stressed specimens of silicone—aliphatic urethane tubing implanted for 90 days showed no indication of stress cracking, a frequent problem with conventional urethanes (personal communication with Michael Szycher, Thermedics). Longer implantation studies are underway.

Other studies of silicone—aliphatic urethane formulations have demonstrated negative findings for stone buildup when the material was implanted in dog bladders for 1 week, and in 3 out of 5 specimens when it was implanted in rat bladders for 1 month; the other 2 specimens experienced some stone buildup in the area of the scar (personal communication with Jerald Glantz, American Medical Systems).

Silicone—aromatic urethane materials currently are being evaluated in a wide range of medical device applications. Tests of cytotoxicity, systemic injection of extracts, and short-term implants have been favorable. However, because of the continuing debate on the appropriateness of aromatic urethanes in biomedical devices, neither a favorable nor unfavorable recommendation can yet be made for these formulations. In any case, these materials are not recommended when steam sterilization is included in the intended product's use protocol.

While the Rimplast technology was originally developed with polyurethanes, it has been extended to other thermoplastic elastomers and engineering thermoplastics. Polyester elastomers and EPDM- and SEDStype block copolymers have now all been used as matrix resins, and silicone-SEDS formulations appear to be excellent candidates for catheter and other disposable applications. Polyamide (nylon) and polyester engineering thermoplastics have also been formulated using the technology. Silicone-nylon 6/6 systems show increased resistance to deflection under load at elevated temperatures and less anisotropic shrinkage, while silicone-nylon 12 materials appear to be excellent candidates for self-supporting medical device applications such as implantable pumps, catheter guides, and connectors (see Table IV). All materials formulated by the technology thus far have demonstrated improved solvent resistance.

Table IV: Properties of three nonpolyurethane IPN-modified silicone thermoplastics.

	PTA6602	PTA1202	PTSEDSª			
Resin	Nylon 6/6	Nylon 12	SEDS			
Silicone, %	10	10	20			
Hardness, shore	NAb	98A	70A			
Tear strength, pli	NA		150			
Tensile strength, psi	10,100	5200	1000			
Elongation, %	5	20	400			
Flexural strength, psi	14,000	8000	NA			
Flexural modulus, psi	360,000	200,000	500 psi at			
Impact strength, ft-lb/in.			300% elongation			
notched	0.8	0.6	NBc			
unnotched	15.0	6.4	NB			
Water absorption, 24 hours, %	0.6	0.5	0.2			
Specific gravity	1.12	1.01	0.95			
Shrinkage, % (%-in. section)	0.4-0.6	1.0-1.8	not tested			
Process temperature range, °F	460-525	375-425	330-360			
^a Developmental properties. ^b Not applicable. ^c No break.						

References

- Van Noort R, and Black M, "Silicone Rubber for Medical Applications," in Biocompatibility of Clinical Implant Materials, Williams D (ed), Boca Raton, Florida, CRC, 1982.
- Lynch W, Implants, New York, Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1982.
- Arkles B, and Redinger P, "Silicones in Biomedical Applications," in Biocompatible Materials, Szycher M (ed), Lancaster, Pennsylvania, Technomic Publishing, 1983.
- Chawla A, "Blood Interaction of Filler Free Silicone Rubber," Biomaterials, 2:83, 1981.
- 5. Nyilas E, Polysiloxane polyurethane block copolymers, U.S. Pat. 3,562,352, 1971.
- Ward R, and Nyilas E, "Production of Biomedical Polymers," in Organometallic Polymers, Carraher C (ed), New York, Academic Press, p 219, 1978.
- 7. Ward R, Litwack P, and Rodvien R, "Improved Compatibility of Modified Thermoplastics," in Proceedings of the Society for Biomaterials, 1982.
- 8. Ward R, U.K. Pat. Appl GB 2,073,219A, 1982.
- 9. Mueller K, and Kleiner E, Polysiloxane hydrogels, U.S. Pat. 4,136,250, 1979.
- Szycher M, and Poirrer VL, "Polyurethane Elastomers," Elastomerics, 115:3, 1983.

Barry C. Arkles, PhD, is president and cofounder of Petrarch Systems, Incorporated, Bristol, Pennsylvania. He received his BS in chemistry (1970) and his PhD in biochemistry (1975) from Temple University, Philadelphia. From 1968 to 1976 Arkles worked at LNP Engineering Plastics, a division of Beatrice Foods, Malvern Pennsylvania. As technical development manager at LNP, his responsibilities included product and process development for all of the division's product lines. His current responsibilities at Petrarch Systems include application and market development as well as general corporate planning.